

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,550	01/15/2004	Edmund Radmacher	16202.990	3053	
7590 07/08/2005			EXAMINER		
Joseph W. Berenato, III			THERKORN, ERNEST G		
Liniak, Berenato & White, LLC Suite 240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6550 Rock Spring Drive			1723		
Bethesda, MD 20817			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	nn C	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/757,550	RADMACHER ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. a reply within the statutory minimur eriod will apply and will expire SIX (tatute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this colome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 June 2004.	•	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		n.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square	accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	• • •	` '
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , ,		
See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copie	s not received.	
*			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO)-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		er:	· - - /
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	nte 07062005

He

Application/Control Number: 10/757,550

Art Unit: 1723

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 11-14, drawn to a separation matrix

Group II, claim(s) 9 and 15, drawn to a column

Group III, claim(s) 10 and 16, drawn to a method of separating His-tag proteins.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 is either obvious or anticipated by Porath, Biochemistry, 1983, 22:1621-1630. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.

In addition to the restriction requirement, the following election of species is required:

Election I

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1. Supports having a pore width larger than 1000 Angstroms.
- II. Supports having no more than two groups present as hydrogen

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

Application/Control Number: 10/757,550

Art Unit: 1723

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: For election I, claims 1-3 and 5-10 are directed to species I and claims 4 and 11-16 are directed to species II.

The following claim(s) are generic: No claim is considered to be generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 is either obvious or anticipated by Porath, Biochemistry, 1983, 22:1621-1630.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Joseph W. Berenato, III on July 5, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723 Page 4

EGT July 6, 2005